FORM EXEMPT UNDER 44 U.S.C 3512

INTERNET FORM NUMB-501 (2-08)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD CHARGE AGAINST EMPLOYER

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE			
Case	Date Filed		
18-CA-133382	July 24, 2014		

	18-CA-133382	July 24, 2014
INSTRUCTIONS: File an original with NLRB Regional Director for the region in which the	Bligged unfair labor practice occurred or is occur	
	AINST WHOM CHARGE IS BROUGHT	CARD.
e. Name of Employer		b. Tel. No. 414 358-8474
Nevada Corporation d/b/a McDonalds @ 1220 N. 35	114 855 5414	
single or joint employer	Nevada Corporation d/b/a McDonalds @ 1220 N. 35th St. and McDonalds USA LLC, as a single or joint employer	
		f. Fax No.
d. Address (Street, city, state, and ZIP code) 5001 West Mill Road	e, Employer Representative	g. e-Mail
Milwaukee, WI 53218		
		h. Number of workers employed 100
i. Type of Establishment (factory, mine, wholesaler, etc.) Restaurant	j. Identify principal product or service fast food	
k. The above-named employer has engaged in and is engaging in	unfair labor practices within the meaning of s	edion 8(a), subsections (1) and (list
subsections) (3)	of the National L	abor Relations Act, and these unfalr labor
practices are practices affecting commerce within the meaning within the meaning of the Act and the Postal Reorganization Ar		unfair practices affecting commerce
2. Basis of the Charge (set forth a clear and concise statement or	f the facts constituting the alleged unfair labor	practices)
On or about July 23, the employer by its agent (b)(6).		
engaging in protected concerted union activities by		
meeting for all employees on Saturday, July 26 at 8:		
attend said meeting. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) scheduled the me		
already obtained the day off on the work schedule		
Convention for fast food and retail employees in Chi	cago.	
		man or per all the second of t
3 Full name of party filing charge (if labor organization, give full it Milwaukee Workers Organizing Committee	name, including local name and number)	8 = 3
Milwaukee Workers Organizing Committee		0 2 = 0
A Address (Circuit and assets after the and 7/7 and a		Tan Tal Tilba
4a. Address (Street and number, city, state, and ZIP code)		4b. Tel 3No 414 935-24220 S
1862 W. Fond du Lac Ave.		4c, Cell(Ne."
Milwaukee, WI 53218		4d. Fax No.
•		5
		4e. e-Mall
		<u></u>
Full name of national or international labor organization of white organization N/A	ch it is an affillale or constituent unit (to be fille	d in when charge is filed by a lebor
6. DECLARATION		Tel. No.
I declare that I have read the above charge and that the statements a	re true to the best of my knowledge and belief.	414 271-8650
By M SM Richa	ord Saks	Office, if any, Cell No. 414 331-4405
	rint/type neme and illie or office, if any)	Fax No. 414 271-8442
	July 24, 2014	e-Mail

WILLFUL FALSE STATEMENTS ON THIS CHARGE CAN BE PUNISHED BY FINE AND IMPRISONMENT (U.S. CODE, TITLE 18, SECTION 1001) PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

(date)

Solicitation of the information on this form is authorized by the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA), 29 U.S.C. § 151 et seq. The principal use of the information is to assist the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) in processing unfair labor practice and related proceedings or litigation. The routine uses for the information are fully set forth in the Federal Register, 71 Fed. Reg. 74942-43 (Dec. 13, 2006). The NLRB will further explain these uses upon request. Disclosure of this information to the NLRB is voluntary; however, failure to supply the Information will cause the NLRB to decline to Invoke its processes.

HAWKS QUINDEL, S.C.

222 E. Erie St., #210 Post Office Box 442 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201-0442

TELEPHONE: (414) 271-8650 FAX: (414) 271-8442

FAX COVER LETTER

Please deliver the following page(s)

NLRB

FAX # 414-297-3880

Attention:

Benjamin Mandelman

FROM:

Attorney Richard Saks / RJ

RE:

TO:

ULP Charge - (Nevada Corp. d/b/a/ McDonalds @ 1220 N. 35th St.)

DATE:

07/24/14

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER LETTER:

K3

TRANSMITTED BY:

R. Saks

By Facsimile Only.

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES OR HAVE ANY PROBLEM RECEIVING THIS TRANSMISSION, PLEASE CALL AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENT NAMED ABOVE. This message may be an attorney-client communication, and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us by mail. Thank you.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

UINDEL, S.C. Hawks Ç

222 East Erie Street, Sulte 210 P.O. Box 442 Milwankee, W1 53201-0442

MILWAUKEE OFFICE

SHAREHOLDERS Katherine L. Charlton Timothy E. Hawks B. Michele Sumarn

414-271-8650 Fax 414-271-84-12 www.hq-law.com Offices also in Mudlson

> EMERITUS Richard Perry

ASSOCIATES Larry A. Johnson Timothy P. Maynard Kashoua Yang

OF COUNSEL TO FIRM Walter F. Kelly Robert J. Lerner Howard N. Myers Vicki Schaul Jeffrey P. Sweetland

Suramer H. Murshid Barbara Zack Quindel Richard Sales Daniel R. Schoshinski Amy L. Shapiro

July 24, 2014

VIA FAX ONLY (414) 297-3880

Benjamin Mandelman, Milwaukee Officer in Charge National Labor Relations Board, Subregional Office 310 W. Wisconsin Ave. - Ste. #450W Milwaukee, WI 53203-2281

> RE: Filing of Charge

Nevada Corp. d/b/a McDonalds @ 1220 N. 35th St. & McDonalds USA as a single or joint employer (Case No. TBA) (Interference with Section 7 Rights)

Dear Mr. Mandelman:

Please find enclosed for filing the Charge of the Milwaukee Workers Organizing Committee. The union and I stand ready to assist the Board in its investigation of the matter. Thank you.

Richard Saks

Sincerely.

RS:me Encls. Cc: WJN



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

SUBREGION 30 310 W Wisconsin Ave Ste 450W Milwaukee, WI 53203-2246 Agency Website: www.nlrb.gov Telephone: (414)297-3861 Fax: (414)297-3880 Download NLRB Mobile App

July 24, 2014

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

NEVADA CORPORATION D/B/A MCDONALDS @ 1220 N. 35TH ST. AND MCDONALDS USA LLC, AS A SINGLE OR JOINT EMPLOYER 5001 WEST MILL ROAD MILWAUKEE, WI 53218

Re: Nevada Corporation d/b/a McDonalds @

1220 N. 35th St. and McDonalds USA LLC,

as a single or joint employer

Case 18-CA-133382

Dear (b) (6), (b) (7)(C):

Enclosed is a copy of a charge that has been filed in this case. This letter tells you how to contact the Board agent who will be investigating the charge, explains your right to be represented, discusses presenting your evidence, and provides a brief explanation of our procedures, including how to submit documents to the NLRB.

<u>Investigator</u>: This charge is being investigated by Field Examiner JESSICA M. GIBSON whose telephone number is (414)297-3168. If this Board agent is not available, you may contact Supervisory Attorney ANITA C. O'NEIL whose telephone number is (414)297-3900.

<u>Right to Representation</u>: You have the right to be represented by an attorney or other representative in any proceeding before us. If you choose to be represented, your representative must notify us in writing of this fact as soon as possible by completing Form NLRB-4701, Notice of Appearance. This form is available on our website, www.nlrb.gov, or from an NLRB office upon your request.

If you are contacted by someone about representing you in this case, please be assured that no organization or person seeking your business has any "inside knowledge" or favored relationship with the National Labor Relations Board. Their knowledge regarding this proceeding was only obtained through access to information that must be made available to any member of the public under the Freedom of Information Act.

<u>Presentation of Your Evidence</u>: We seek prompt resolutions of labor disputes. Therefore, I urge you or your representative to submit a complete written account of the facts and a statement of your position with respect to the allegations set forth in the charge as soon as possible. If the Board agent later asks for more evidence, I strongly urge you or your

representative to cooperate fully by promptly presenting all evidence relevant to the investigation. In this way, the case can be fully investigated more quickly.

Full and complete cooperation includes providing witnesses to give sworn affidavits to a Board agent, and providing all relevant documentary evidence requested by the Board agent. Sending us your written account of the facts and a statement of your position is not enough to be considered full and complete cooperation. A refusal to fully cooperate during the investigation might cause a case to be litigated unnecessarily.

In addition, either you or your representative must complete the enclosed Commerce Questionnaire to enable us to determine whether the NLRB has jurisdiction over this dispute. If you recently submitted this information in another case, or if you need assistance completing the form, please contact the Board agent.

We will not honor any request to place limitations on our use of position statements or evidence beyond those prescribed by the Freedom of Information Act and the Federal Records Act. Thus, we will not honor any claim of confidentiality except as provided by Exemption 4 of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. Sec. 552(b)(4), and any material you submit may be introduced as evidence at any hearing before an administrative law judge. We are also required by the Federal Records Act to keep copies of documents gathered in our investigation for some years after a case closes. Further, the Freedom of Information Act may require that we disclose such records in closed cases upon request, unless there is an applicable exemption. Examples of those exemptions are those that protect confidential financial information or personal privacy interests.

<u>Procedures:</u> We strongly urge everyone to submit all documents and other materials (except unfair labor practice charges and representation petitions) by E-Filing (not e-mailing) through our website, <u>www.nlrb.gov</u>. However, the Agency will continue to accept timely filed paper documents. Please include the case name and number indicated above on all your correspondence regarding the charge.

Information about the Agency, the procedures we follow in unfair labor practice cases and our customer service standards is available on our website, www.nlrb.gov or from an NLRB office upon your request. NLRB Form 4541 offers information that is helpful to parties involved in an investigation of an unfair labor practice charge.

We can provide assistance for persons with limited English proficiency or disability. Please let us know if you or any of your witnesses would like such assistance.

Very truly yours,

MARLIN O. OSTHUS Regional Director

By:

BENJAMIN MANDELMAN Officer in Charge

Beyoni mankeline

Enclosures:

- 1. Copy of Charge
- 2. Commerce Questionnaire

Revised 3/21/2011 NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD						
QUESTIONNAIRE ON COMMERCE INFORMATION						
Please read carefully, answer all applicable items, and return to the NLRB Office. If additional space is required, please add a page and identify item number.						
CASE NAME			12.5 - 11.55	CASE NUMBER		
Nevada Corporation d/b/a Mcl	_) N. 35th St. a	and McDonalds USA	18-CA-133382		
LLC, as a single or joint emplo	oyer					
1. EXACT LEGAL TITLE OF ENTITY (As filed with State and	or stated in legal d	locuments forming entity)			
2. TYPE OF ENTITY						
[] CORPORATION [] LLC [] L	LP [] PARTNERS	SHIP [] SOLE	PROPRIETORSHIP [] OTHE	R (Specify)		
3. IF A CORPORATION or LLC						
A. STATE OF INCORPORATION OR FORMATION	B. NAME, ADDRESS	S, AND RELATION	ISHIP (e.g. parent, subsidiary) OF A	LL RELATED ENTITIES		
4. IF AN LLC OR ANY TYPE OF PART	NEDCHID EIII I NAI	ME AND ADDDES	SS OF ALL MEMDEDS OD DADT	NEDC		
4. IF AN LLC OR ANT THE OF TART	NEKSHIF, FULL NA	WIE AND ADDRES	55 OF ALL MEMBERS OR FART	NERS		
			umo p			
5. IF A SOLE PROPRIETORSHIP, FUL	L NAME AND ADDR	ESS OF PROPRIE	TOR			
	OF VOLUME OPER LET	COMO DE LES	7.1			_
6. BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE NATURE	OF YOUR OPERATI	ONS (Products han	idled or manufactured, or nature of s	ervices performed).		
7. A. PRINCIPAL LOCATION:	1	B. BRANCH LOC.	ATIONS:			
8. NUMBER OF PEOPLE PRESENTLY	EMPLOYED					
A. Total:	B. At the address	involved in this ma	atter:			
9. DURING THE MOST RECENT (Chec	k appropriate box): []	CALENDAR YR	[] 12 MONTHS or [] FISCA	AL YR (FY dates)
. 51				·	YES	NO
A. Did you provide services valued in a				·	YES	NO
\$	excess of \$50,000 dire	ectly to customers	outside your State? If no, indica	ate actual value.	YES	NO
	excess of \$50,000 dire	ectly to customers	outside your State? If no, indica	ate actual value.	YES	NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you p	excess of \$50,000 directoride services value ectly outside your Sta	d in excess of \$50 te? If no, indicate	outside your State? If no, indica 0,000 to customers in your State we the value of any such service	who purchased goods sees you provided.	YES	NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you possible valued in excess of \$50,000 from directions.	rovide services value ectly outside your Sta you provide services broadcasting stations,	d in excess of \$50 ate? If no, indicates valued in excess	outside your State? If no, indicate, 0,000 to customers in your State we the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tra	who purchased goods sees you provided.	YES	NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you provalued in excess of \$50,000 from dir \$ C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions,	rovide services value ectly outside your Sta you provide services broadcasting stations,	d in excess of \$50 ate? If no, indicates valued in excess, commercial build	outside your State? If no, indicate, on the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tradings, educational institutions, or	who purchased goods sees you provided. ansit systems, retail concerns? If	YES	NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you provalued in excess of \$50,000 from dir \$ C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions, less than \$50,000, indicate amount. D. Did you sell goods valued in excess	rovide services value ectly outside your Sta you provide services broadcasting stations, \$ of \$50,000 directly to	d in excess of \$50 tte? If no, indicates valued in excess , commercial build	outside your State? If no, indicate, on the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tradings, educational institutions, or doutside your State? If less than	who purchased goods sees you provided. ansit systems, retail concerns? If	YES	NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you provalued in excess of \$50,000 from dir \$ C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions, less than \$50,000, indicate amount. D. Did you sell goods valued in excess amount. \$	rovide services value ectly outside your Star you provide services broadcasting stations, \$ of \$50,000 directly to the service of \$50,000 directly to \$50,00	d in excess of \$50 tte? If no, indicates valued in excess commercial build customers located	outside your State? If no, indicated 0,000 to customers in your State where the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tradings, educational institutions, or doutside your State? If less than irrectly to customers located inside	who purchased goods less you provided. Insit systems, retail concerns? If \$50,000, indicate It is your State who	YES	NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you provalued in excess of \$50,000 from dir \$ C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions, less than \$50,000, indicate amount. D. Did you sell goods valued in excess amount. \$ E. If you answered no to 9D, did you set	rovide services value ectly outside your Star you provide services broadcasting stations, \$ of \$50,000 directly to the service of \$50,000 from desired to the service of \$50,0	d in excess of \$50 ate? If no, indicates valued in excess, commercial build customers located cess of \$50,000 di hirectly outside you	outside your State? If no, indicated 0,000 to customers in your State where the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tradings, educational institutions, or doutside your State? If less than irrectly to customers located insidur State? If less than \$50,000, in	who purchased goods less you provided. Insit systems, retail concerns? If \$50,000, indicate It your State who indicate amount.	YES	NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you possible valued in excess of \$50,000 from dir \$ C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions, less than \$50,000, indicate amount. D. Did you sell goods valued in excess amount. \$ E. If you answered no to 9D, did you see purchased other goods valued in excess \$ F. Did you purchase and receive good amount. \$ G. Did you purchase and receive good amount.	excess of \$50,000 directly outside your State you provide services broadcasting stations. Sof \$50,000 directly to the service of \$50,000 from directly the service of \$50,0	d in excess of \$50 ate? If no, indicates valued in excess commercial build customers located cess of \$50,000 differently outside your \$50,000 from directly of the second	outside your State? If no, indicated, 0,000 to customers in your State we the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tradings, educational institutions, or doutside your State? If less than irrectly to customers located insidur State? If less than \$50,000, in sectly outside your State? If less	who purchased goods less you provided. Insit systems, retail concerns? If \$50,000, indicate It your State who indicate amount. Ithan \$50,000, indicate	YES	NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you possible valued in excess of \$50,000 from dir \$ C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions, less than \$50,000, indicate amount. D. Did you sell goods valued in excess amount. \$ E. If you answered no to 9D, did you see purchased other goods valued in excess amount. \$ F. Did you purchase and receive good amount. \$ G. Did you purchase and receive good outside your State? If less than \$50,000 from all sales or purchase and sales or purchase good outside your State?	excess of \$50,000 directly outside your States broadcasting stations. Sof \$50,000 directly to the state of \$50,000 from distribution	d in excess of \$50 ate? If no, indicates valued in excess, commercial build customers located cess of \$50,000 differently outside you \$50,000 from directly outside you \$50,000 from entert. \$	outside your State? If no, indicated, 0,000 to customers in your State where the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tradings, educational institutions, or doutside your State? If less than irrectly to customers located insidur State? If less than \$50,000, in eactly outside your State? If less erprises who received the goods agest amount)	who purchased goods less you provided. Insit systems, retail concerns? If \$50,000, indicate It your State who indicate amount. Ithan \$50,000, indicate	YES	NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you possible valued in excess of \$50,000 from dir \$ C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions, less than \$50,000, indicate amount. D. Did you sell goods valued in excess amount. \$ E. If you answered no to 9D, did you see purchased other goods valued in excess amount. \$ F. Did you purchase and receive good amount. \$ G. Did you purchase and receive good outside your State? If less than \$50 amounts are good outside your State?	excess of \$50,000 directly outside your State you provide services broadcasting stations. \$ of \$50,000 directly to the service of \$50,000 from description of \$50,000 from	d in excess of \$50 ate? If no, indicate valued in excess of souther valued in excess of customers located customers located the customers located by the customers located by the customers of \$50,000 from directly outside your \$50,000 from enters. \$250,000 from enters. \$250,000 from enters.	outside your State? If no, indicate 0,000 to customers in your State of the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tradings, educational institutions, or doutside your State? If less than irrectly to customers located insideur State? If less than \$50,000, in ectly outside your State? If less erprises who received the goods gest amount) than \$100,000, indicate amount.	who purchased goods less you provided. Insit systems, retail concerns? If \$50,000, indicate It your State who indicate amount. Ithan \$50,000, indicate	YES	NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you possible valued in excess of \$50,000 from dir \$ C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions, less than \$50,000, indicate amount. D. Did you sell goods valued in excess amount. \$ E. If you answered no to 9D, did you see purchased other goods valued in excess amount. \$ F. Did you purchase and receive good amount. \$ G. Did you purchase and receive good outside your State? If less than \$50 amounts. \$ H. Gross Revenues from all sales or purchase and sales o	excess of \$50,000 directly outside your State you provide services broadcasting stations, \$ of \$50,000 directly to the service of \$50,000 from directly the service of \$50,0	d in excess of \$50 ate? If no, indicate valued in excess of souther valued in excess commercial build customers located the customers located the customers located the customers of \$50,000 districtly outside your \$50,000 from enterts. \$ \$50,000 from enterts. \$ \$60 or more If less the large of the customers of the large of the large of the customers of the large of	outside your State? If no, indicate 0,000 to customers in your State where the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tradings, educational institutions, or doutside your State? If less than irrectly to customers located insideur State? If less than \$50,000, in eactly outside your State? If less erprises who received the goods gest amount) than \$100,000, indicate amount.	who purchased goods less you provided. In the actual value. In t		NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you possible valued in excess of \$50,000 from dir \$ C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions, less than \$50,000, indicate amount. D. Did you sell goods valued in excess amount. \$ E. If you answered no to 9D, did you see purchased other goods valued in excess amount. \$ F. Did you purchase and receive good amount. \$ G. Did you purchase and receive good outside your State? If less than \$50. H. Gross Revenues from all sales or purchase and receive good outside your State? If less than \$50. I. Did you begin operations within the log of the property of the purchase and the purchas	excess of \$50,000 directly outside your State you provide services broadcasting stations. \$ of \$50,000 directly to the service of \$50,000 from the ser	d in excess of \$50 ate? If no, indicate s valued in excess of south commercial build customers located cess of \$50,000 districtly outside you \$50,000 from directly outside you for more if less to the large of more if less to the large of t	outside your State? If no, indicate 0,000 to customers in your State where the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tradings, educational institutions, or doutside your State? If less than irrectly to customers located insideur State? If less than \$50,000, in eactly outside your State? If less erprises who received the goods gest amount) than \$100,000, indicate amount.	who purchased goods less you provided. In the actual value. In t		NO
B. If you answered no to 9A, did you prevalued in excess of \$50,000 from dir \$ C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions, less than \$50,000, indicate amount. D. Did you sell goods valued in excess amount. \$ E. If you answered no to 9D, did you see purchased other goods valued in excess amount. \$ F. Did you purchase and receive good amount. \$ G. Did you purchase and receive good outside your State? If less than \$50.000 outside your State? If les	excess of \$50,000 directly outside your State you provide services broadcasting stations. Sof \$50,000 directly to the service of \$50,000 directly to the service of \$50,000 from the service of \$50,000 from the service of \$50,000 indicate amount erformance of service of \$50,000 [] \$1,000,000 from the last 12 months? CIATION OR OTHER	d in excess of \$50 ate? If no, indicate s valued in excess of souther than the commercial build customers located cess of \$50,000 districtly outside you \$50,000 from directly outside you for more if less than the commercial fless than the commercial fl	outside your State? If no, indicate 0,000 to customers in your State of the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tradings, educational institutions, or doutside your State? If less than irrectly to customers located insidur State? If less than \$50,000, in eactly outside your State? If less erprises who received the goods gest amount) than \$100,000, indicate amount.	who purchased goods less you provided. ansit systems, retail concerns? If \$50,000, indicate le your State who indicate amount. than \$50,000, indicate directly from points		NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you possible valued in excess of \$50,000 from dir \$ C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions, less than \$50,000, indicate amount. D. Did you sell goods valued in excess amount. \$ E. If you answered no to 9D, did you see purchased other goods valued in excess amount. \$ F. Did you purchase and receive good amount. \$ G. Did you purchase and receive good outside your State? If less than \$50. H. Gross Revenues from all sales or purchase and receive good outside your State? If less than \$50. I. Did you begin operations within the log of the property of the purchase and the purchas	excess of \$50,000 directly outside your State you provide services broadcasting stations. Sof \$50,000 directly to the service of \$50,000 directly to the service of \$50,000 from the service of \$50,000 from the service of \$50,000 indicate amount erformance of service of \$50,000 [] \$1,000,000 from the last 12 months? CIATION OR OTHER	d in excess of \$50 ate? If no, indicate s valued in excess of souther than the commercial build customers located cess of \$50,000 didirectly outside you \$50,000 from directly outside you for more if less than the commercial set of the commerc	outside your State? If no, indicate 0,000 to customers in your State of the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tradings, educational institutions, or doutside your State? If less than irrectly to customers located insidur State? If less than \$50,000, in eactly outside your State? If less erprises who received the goods gest amount) than \$100,000, indicate amount.	who purchased goods less you provided. ansit systems, retail concerns? If \$50,000, indicate le your State who indicate amount. than \$50,000, indicate directly from points	5 ?	NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you prevalued in excess of \$50,000 from dir \$ C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions, less than \$50,000, indicate amount. D. Did you sell goods valued in excess amount. \$ E. If you answered no to 9D, did you see purchased other goods valued in excess amount. \$ F. Did you purchase and receive good amount. \$ G. Did you purchase and receive good outside your State? If less than \$50.000 [] \$100,000 [] \$250,000 [] \$50.000 [excess of \$50,000 directly outside your State you provide services broadcasting stations. \$ of \$50,000 directly to the service of \$50,000 directly to the service of \$50,000 from the service of \$50,000 from the service of \$50,000 indicate amount of service of \$10,000 [] \$1,000,000 the last 12 months? CIATION OR OTHER address of association of the service of \$10,000 from the service of \$10,00	d in excess of \$50 ate? If no, indicate s valued in excess of souther than the commercial build customers located cess of \$50,000 didirectly outside you \$50,000 from directly outside you for more if less than the commercial set of the commerc	outside your State? If no, indicate 0,000 to customers in your State of the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tradings, educational institutions, or doutside your State? If less than irrectly to customers located insideur State? If less than \$50,000, in eactly outside your State? If less erprises who received the goods gest amount) than \$100,000, indicate amount.	who purchased goods res you provided. ansit systems, retail concerns? If \$50,000, indicate the your State who indicate amount. than \$50,000, indicate directly from points	5 ?	NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you prevalued in excess of \$50,000 from dir \$ C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions, less than \$50,000, indicate amount. D. Did you sell goods valued in excess amount. \$ E. If you answered no to 9D, did you see purchased other goods valued in excess amount. \$ F. Did you purchase and receive good amount. \$ G. Did you purchase and receive good outside your State? If less than \$50. H. Gross Revenues from all sales or present the second of the second purchase and receive good outside your State? If less than \$50. I. Did you begin operations within the second purchase and second purchase an	excess of \$50,000 directly outside your State you provide services broadcasting stations, \$ of \$50,000 directly to \$1 goods valued in excess of \$50,000 from descriptions of \$50,000 from desc	d in excess of \$50 ate? If no, indicate valued in excess of south valued in excess of customers located customers located the customers located the customers located the customers located the south value in the south value	outside your State? If no, indicate the value of any such service the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tradings, educational institutions, or doutside your State? If less than irrectly to customers located insideur State? If less than \$50,000, in ectly outside your State? If less erprises who received the goods gest amount) than \$100,000, indicate amount. The area of the property of the state. **ROUP THAT ENGAGES IN COLITIONS E-MAIL ADDRESS**	who purchased goods less you provided. ansit systems, retail concerns? If \$50,000, indicate le your State who indicate amount. than \$50,000, indicate directly from points LECTIVE BARGAINING	5 ?	NO
\$ B. If you answered no to 9A, did you prevalued in excess of \$50,000 from dir \$ C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions, less than \$50,000, indicate amount. D. Did you sell goods valued in excess amount. \$ E. If you answered no to 9D, did you see purchased other goods valued in excess amount. \$ F. Did you purchase and receive good amount. \$ G. Did you purchase and receive good outside your State? If less than \$50. H. Gross Revenues from all sales or present the second of the second purchase and receive good outside your State? If less than \$50. I. Did you begin operations within the second purchase and second purchase an	excess of \$50,000 directly outside your State you provide services broadcasting stations, \$ of \$50,000 directly to \$1 goods valued in excess of \$50,000 from descriptions of \$50,000 from desc	d in excess of \$50 ate? If no, indicate valued in excess of south valued in excess of customers located customers located the customers located the customers located the customers located the south value in the south value	outside your State? If no, indicate 0,000 to customers in your State of the value of any such service of \$50,000 to public utilities, tradings, educational institutions, or doutside your State? If less than irrectly to customers located insideur State? If less than \$50,000, in eactly outside your State? If less erprises who received the goods gest amount) than \$100,000, indicate amount.	who purchased goods less you provided. ansit systems, retail concerns? If \$50,000, indicate le your State who indicate amount. than \$50,000, indicate directly from points TEL. NUM	5 ?	NO

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

Solicitation of the information on this form is authorized by the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA), 29 U.S.C. § 151 et seq. The principal use of the information is to assist the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) in processing representation and/or unfair labor practice proceedings and related proceedings or litigation. The routine uses for the information are fully set forth in the Federal Register, 71 Fed. Reg. 74942-43 (Dec. 13, 2006). The NLRB will further explain these uses upon request. Disclosure of this information to the NLRB is voluntary. However, failure to supply the information may

cause the NLRB to refuse to process any further a representation or unfair labor practice case, or may cause the NLRB to issue you a subpoena and seek enforcement of the subpoena in federal or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement of the subpoena in federal or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement of the subpoena in federal or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement of the subpoena in federal or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement of the subpoena in federal or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement of the subpoena in federal or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement of the subpoena in federal or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement of the subpoena in federal or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement of the subpoena in federal or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement of the subpoena in federal or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement of the subpoena in federal or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement or cause the NLRB to issue you are subpoena and seek enforcement or cause the NLRB to issue	court.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

NEVADA CORPORATION D/B/A MCDONALDS
@ 1220 N. 35TH ST. AND MCDONALDS USA
LLC, AS A SINGLE OR JOINT EMPLOYER

Charged Party

and

MILWAUKEE WORKERS ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Charging Party

Case 18-CA-133382

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF CHARGE AGAINST EMPLOYER

I, the undersigned employee of the National Labor Relations Board, state under oath that on July 24, 2014, I served the above-entitled document(s) by post-paid regular mail upon the following persons, addressed to them at the following addresses:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

NEVADA CORPORATION D/B/A MCDONALDS @ 1220 N. 35TH ST. AND MCDONALDS USA LLC, AS A SINGLE OR JOINT EMPLOYER 5001 WEST MILL ROAD MILWAUKEE, WI 53218

July 24, 2014	William J. Calvert, Designated Agent of
	NLRB
Date	Name
	/s/ William J. Calvert
	Signature



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD



Agency Website: www.nlrb.gov Telephone: (414)297-3861 Fax: (414)297-3880 Download NLRB Mobile App

July 24, 2014

MILWAUKEE WORKERS ORGANIZING COMMITTEE 1862 WEST FOND DU LAC AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53205

310 W Wisconsin Ave Ste 450W

Milwaukee, WI 53203-2246

SUBREGION 30

Re: Nevada Corporation d/b/a McDonalds @ 1220 N. 35th St. and McDonalds USA LLC, as a single or joint employer

Case 18-CA-133382

Dear Sir or Madam:

The charge that you filed in this case on July 24, 2014 has been docketed as case number 18-CA-133382. This letter tells you how to contact the Board agent who will be investigating the charge, explains your right to be represented, discusses presenting your evidence, and provides a brief explanation of our procedures, including how to submit documents to the NLRB.

<u>Investigator</u>: This charge is being investigated by Field Examiner JESSICA M. GIBSON whose telephone number is (414)297-3168. If this Board agent is not available, you may contact Supervisory Attorney ANITA C. O'NEIL whose telephone number is (414)297-3900.

Right to Representation: You have the right to be represented by an attorney or other representative in any proceeding before us. If you choose to be represented, your representative must notify us in writing of this fact as soon as possible by completing Form NLRB-4701, Notice of Appearance. This form is available on our website, www.nlrb.gov, or at the Regional office upon your request.

If you are contacted by someone about representing you in this case, please be assured that no organization or person seeking your business has any "inside knowledge" or favored relationship with the National Labor Relations Board. Their knowledge regarding this proceeding was only obtained through access to information that must be made available to any member of the public under the Freedom of Information Act.

Presentation of Your Evidence: As the party who filed the charge in this case, it is your responsibility to meet with the Board agent to provide a sworn affidavit, or provide other witnesses to provide sworn affidavits, and to provide relevant documents within your possession. Because we seek to resolve labor disputes promptly, you should be ready to promptly present your affidavit(s) and other evidence. If you have not yet scheduled a date and time for the Board agent to take your affidavit, please contact the Board agent to schedule the affidavit(s). If you fail to cooperate in promptly presenting your evidence, your charge may be dismissed without investigation.

<u>Procedures:</u> We strongly urge everyone to submit all documents and other materials (except unfair labor practice charges and representation petitions) by E-Filing (not e-mailing) through our website www.nlrb.gov. However, the Agency will continue to accept timely filed paper documents. Please include the case name and number indicated above on all your correspondence regarding the charge.

Information about the Agency, the procedures we follow in unfair labor practice cases and our customer service standards is available on our website www.nlrb.gov or from the Regional Office upon your request. *NLRB Form 4541, Investigative Procedures* offers information that is helpful to parties involved in an investigation of an unfair labor practice charge.

We can provide assistance for persons with limited English proficiency or disability. Please let us know if you or any of your witnesses would like such assistance.

Very truly yours,

MARLIN O. OSTHUS Regional Director

By:

BENJAMIN MANDELMAN Officer in Charge

cc: RICHARD SAKS, ESQ.
HAWKS QUINDEL, S.C.
222 E ERIE ST STE 210
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-6000

From: Gibson, Jessica M "Richard Saks" To:

Subject: RE: New McDonalds charge Date: Tuesday, July 29, 2014 4:13:00 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Thanks Richard. Please make sure

brings with all relevant documents.

From: Richard Saks [mailto:rsaks@hq-law.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2014 3:43 PM

To: Gibson, Jessica M

Subject: RE: New McDonalds charge

Jessica

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) will be there (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Rich Saks

Richard Saks

Attorney



222 E. Erie Street • Suite 210 • P.O. Box 442 • Milwaukee, WI 53201 Phone: (414) 271-8650 • Fax: (414) 271-8442 • www.hg-law.com • http://www.hg-law.com/attorneys/milwaukee-attorneys/richard-saks/

PLEASE NOTE: If you receive this email in error, use or disclosure is prohibited. Please notify me of the error by email and delete this email. Thank you.

From: Gibson, Jessica M [mailto:Jessica.Gibson@nlrb.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2014 1:04 PM

To: Richard Saks

Subject: RE: New McDonalds charge

Importance: High

Richard.

can some in on (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)? Please be advised that if (b) does not Any update on if come in (b) (6), for affidavit, the charge could be dismissed for lack of cooperation.

Thank you.

From: Richard Saks [mailto:rsaks@hq-law.com]

Sent: Monday, July 28, 2014 3:01 PM

To: Gibson, Jessica M

Subject: RE: New McDonalds charge

The main person who will provide testimony will be (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). We are trying to ascertain availability and I will let you know ASAP. FYI, I will be out of the office starting this Thursday, July 31 until Tuesday Aug. 12. If you have any questions you may contact Barbara Quinel or Michele Sumara in my office. Thanks.

From: Gibson, Jessica M [mailto:Jessica.Gibson@nlrb.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 28, 2014 11:00 AM

To: Richard Saks

Subject: New McDonalds charge

Richard,

Who will be providing testimony for the new McDonalds charge about the mandatory Saturday meeting?

Can those witnesses come either (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Thank you.

Jessica M. Gibson Field Examiner National Labor Relations Board Sub-Region 30 310 W. Wisconsin Ave. Ste. 450W Milwaukee, WI 53203 (414) 297-3168 From: Gibson, Jessica M

To: <u>Boerschinger, Eric;</u> O"Neil, Anita C.

and that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Update on McDonalds

Date: Wednesday, August 06, 2014 4:03:00 PM

I just spoke to Barbara Quindel- she said that they have found out that the scheduled meeting on that Saturday did not happen after all. She also said that Wisconsin Jobs Now has talked to a (b) (6),

(b) (6), (b) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Barbara

said this (b) (6), (b) is unwilling to provide an affidavit. She said they are trying to find other witnesses who could provide additional testimony.

(b) (5)

She just asked to be given to Friday morning to try to get more evidence. I said that was fine.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Jessica M. Gibson Field Examiner National Labor Relations Board Sub-Region 30 310 W. Wisconsin Ave. Ste. 450W Milwaukee, WI 53203 (414) 297-3168 From: Wendy Stott
To: Gibson, Jessica M

Subject: Re: Nevada Corporation d/b/a McDonalds 18-CA-133382

Date: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

will get off work at We could bring straight to you and have there by is you are still available for one more affidavit.

On (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Gibson, Jessica M < <u>Jessica.Gibson@nlrb.gov</u>> wrote:

That's fine

From: Wendy Stott [mailto:wendy.stott@wisconsinjobsnow.org]

Sent: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) **To:** Gibson, Jessica M

Subject: Re: Nevada Corporation d/b/a McDonalds 18-CA-133382

is available for an interview at Does that still work?

On (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) "Wendy Stott" < wendy.stott@wisconsinjobsnow.org > wrote:

Hi jessica, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is on get (a) in at (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) in at (c) in a

On Aug 8, 2014 11:41 AM, "Wendy Stott" < wendy.stott@wisconsinjobsnow.org > wrote:

Yes we will ask them for those times.

On Fri, Aug 8, 2014 at 11:36 AM, Gibson, Jessica M < <u>Jessica.Gibson@nlrb.gov</u>> wrote:

The employee affidavits should be short. Can we schedule them on the at and one at one at one at one at one at

From: Wendy Stott [mailto:wendy.stott@wisconsinjobsnow.org]

Sent: Friday, August 08, 2014 11:35 AM

To: Gibson, Jessica M

Cc: Barbara Quindel; Rich Saks

Subject: Re: Nevada Corporation d/b/a McDonalds 18-CA-133382

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is confirmed for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can do (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

We also have another worker who can testify was threatened but went to the convention anyway, ame is (b) (6), (b) (7)(C).

And a worker who did not go the Convention and will testify that there was no meeting.

On Fri, Aug 8, 2014 at 8:06 AM, Gibson, Jessica M < Jessica.Gibson@nlrb.gov> wrote:

 $^{\text{(b)}}$ $^{\text{(b)}}$ $^{\text{(b)}}$ $^{\text{(b)}}$ on $^{\text{(b)}}$ on $^{\text{(b)}}$ for $^{\text{(b)}}$ is fine.

Can (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) come around (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) on (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: Wendy Stott [mailto:wendy.stott@wisconsinjobsnow.org]

Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2014 6:16 PM

To: Gibson, Jessica M

Cc: Barbara Quindel; Rich Saks

Subject: RE: Nevada Corporation d/b/a McDonalds 18-CA-133382

Can we get (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) in to testify at (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

We also have another worker who was told would be fired for going but went

anyway. name is (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). Do you want to talk to any of the other workers?
On Aug 7, 2014 1:22 PM, "Gibson, Jessica M" < <u>Jessica.Gibson@nlrb.gov</u> > wrote:
Barbara,
I checked with Regional management. There was apparently some miscommunication in the office about whether or not the skip counsel rules changed regarding (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) . After checking, we found that the rules for have NOT changed, regardless of whether or not the person was an actor. Therefore, I should have no problem taking an affidavit from own or not.
Please let me know if is available (b) (6), (b) (7)(C).
Thanks!
From: Barbara Quindel [mailto:bquindel@hq-law.com] Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2014 12:46 PM To: Gibson, Jessica M Cc: Richard Saks; wendy.stott@wisconsinjobsnow.org Subject: RE: Nevada Corporation d/b/a McDonalds 18-CA-133382 It appears (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was disciplined (written up) on (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) for not writing up the workers who went to the Convention, then terminated the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) after another write up unrelated. Material of the disciplined of the does say there was no meeting.
Does this impact on the skip-counsel rules? Barbara
Barbara Zack Quindel



222 E. Erie Street • Suite 210 • P.O. Box 442 • Milwaukee, WI 53201

Phone: <u>(414) 271-8650</u> • Fax: <u>(414) 271-8442</u> • <u>www.hq-law.com</u>

<u>PLEASE NOTE</u>: If you receive this email in error, use or disclosure is prohibited. Please notify me of the error by email and delete this email. Thank you.

From: Gibson, Jessica M [mailto:Jessica.Gibson@nlrb.gov]

Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2014 11:14 AM

To: Barbara Quindel

Cc: Richard Saks; wendy.stott@wisconsinjobsnow.org

Subject: FW: Nevada Corporation d/b/a McDonalds 18-CA-133382

Now that (b) (6), (b) (7)(c) is willing to cooperate, do you know is own charge regarding termination? could allege was fired for failing to commit an unfair labor practice. As the Charging Party, we would have absolutely no problem interviewing from a skip-counsel standpoint. If told any employees that they had to come to the mandatory meeting or face disciplinary action, then could be an actor and the skip-counsel rules might apply. The easiest course of action for us to talk to is for to file own individual charge.

Could you find out if wants to proceed with doing that?

From: Barbara Quindel [mailto:bquindel@hq-law.com]

Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2014 9:53 AM

To: Gibson, Jessica M

Cc: Wendy Stott (<u>wendy.stott@wisconsinjobsnow.org</u>); Richard Saks **Subject:** RE: Nevada Corporation d/b/a McDonalds 18-CA-133382

Jessica,

As I reported yesterday, we learned that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was fired for not writing up the workers who attended the Convention. didn't write them up because knew that the only reason the meeting was scheduled was because of the Convention and it was last minute that they tried to make everyone work. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) also stated that the Employer never posted the info about the meeting, they only verbally told those people who were supposed to be off for the Convention that they had to come to work.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is willing to provide an affidavit and I have copied Wendy Stott on this email who can work with you to arrange a time for to meet with you.

Barbara

Barbara Zack Quindel

Attorney



222 E. Erie Street • Suite 210 • P.O. Box 442 • Milwaukee, WI 53201

Phone: (414) 271-8650 • Fax: (414) 271-8442 • www.hg-law.com

PLEASE NOTE: If you receive this email in error, use or disclosure is prohibited. Please notify me of the error by email and delete this email. Thank you.

From: Gibson, Jessica M [mailto:Jessica.Gibson@nlrb.gov]

Sent: Monday, August 04, 2014 2:01 PM

To: Barbara Quindel

Subject: Nevada Corporation d/b/a McDonalds 18-CA-133382

Barbara,

I am writing you regarding one of Richard's cases. Wisconsin Jobs Now (WJN) filed the charge in Case 18-CA-133382 with us alleging the Employer scheduled a mandatory meeting on a day when it knew employees would be attending a fast food rally in Chicago. WJN provided one witness who testified that

management about the meeting and was told could be suspended if didn't attend the mandatory meeting. This employee did not attend the mandatory meeting and was not disciplined in any way for doing so. Does WJN have any witnesses to present who 1) attended the mandatory meeting and/or 2) were disciplined for not attending the mandatory meeting?

As the evidence stands now, the case might be dismissed for insufficient evidence and/or non-effectuation since it does not appear any adverse action was taken against employees. Please let me know by **Thursday (August 7)** if WJN has any additional witnesses to present. If WJN does not have any additional witnesses to present, please let me know if WJN is interested in withdrawing the charge and if not, please provide us with an explanation as to why the charge should not be dismissed for insufficient evidence and/or non-effectuation.

If I do not receive a reply by Thursday, I will be forced to take the case to the Regional Director with the current evidence for a determination.

Thank you.
Jessica M. Gibson
Field Examiner
National Labor Relations Board Sub-Region 30
310 W. Wisconsin Ave. Ste. 450W
Milwaukee, WI 53203
(414) 297-3168

Wendy Stott

Field Coordinator Wisconsin Jobs Now --

Wendy Stott

Field Coordinator Wisconsin Jobs Now

--

Wendy Stott Field Coordinator Wisconsin Jobs Now From: Gibson, Jessica M
To: <u>Dunham, Geoffrey</u>

Cc: <u>Boerschinger, Eric; Courseault, Percy J. III; Mandelman, Benjamin; Osthus, Marlin O.</u>

 Subject:
 RE: McDonald"s Case 18-CA-133382

 Date:
 Tuesday, August 19, 2014 3:37:00 PM

Importance: High

Geoffrey,

I'm following up regarding the email below. We would like to get this case disposed of as soon as possible, but are awaiting a response from you.

Thank you so much.

From: Gibson, Jessica M

Sent: Thursday, August 14, 2014 3:29 PM

To: Dunham, Geoffrey

Cc: Boerschinger, Eric; Courseault, Percy J. III; Mandelman, Benjamin; Osthus, Marlin O.

Subject: McDonald's Case 18-CA-133382

Geoffrey,

Here in Region 18, we currently have two cases related to McDonald's. (I am the Field Examiner assigned to both cases). The first case, Case 18-CA-133131, is still currently pending investigation.

(b) (5)
to this case and we will be in contact with you once a determination has been made on that case. In the second case, Case 18-CA-133382, the Acting Regional Director (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
The minute can be found here: FIR.18-CA-133382.Agenda
Outline and Minute 8-13-14.docx

Do we have clearance to proceed with (b) (5) in Case 18-CA-133382?

Thank you.

Jessica M. Gibson
Field Examiner
National Labor Relations Board Sub-Region 30
310 W. Wisconsin Ave. Ste. 450W
Milwaukee, WI 53203
(414) 297-3168

All contact with parties was done through email. All of the emails have been uploaded into NxGen.

From: Gibson, Jessica M

To: Gibson, Jessica M

Subject: Call with Richard Saks

Date: Wednesday, September 3, 2014 10:39:00 AM

I called Richard Saks this morning and announced the decision in Case 18-CA-133382. (b) (5)

He said he would get back to me

about how his client wants to dispose of the charge by Friday, September 5, 2014

Jacoica M. Cibcan

Jessica M. Gibson Field Examiner National Labor Relations Board Sub-Region 30 310 W. Wisconsin Ave. Ste. 450W Milwaukee, WI 53203 (414) 297-3168 From: Gibson, Jessica M
To: Gibson, Jessica M

Subject: Call from Richard Saks- Charging Party will withdraw charge in 18-CA-133382

Date: Wednesday, September 3, 2014 2:58:07 PM

Jessica M. Gibson Field Examiner National Labor Relations Board Sub-Region 30 310 W. Wisconsin Ave. Ste. 450W Milwaukee, WI 53203 (414) 297-3168

ORAL WITHDRAWAL REQUEST

On **September 3, 2014**, Charging Party, through Richard Saks, Esq., made an oral request to withdraw the charge in **Nevada Corporation, Case 18-CA-133382** after being informed the Region intended to dismiss absent withdrawal.

Therefore, in accordance with OM Memorandum 95-9, this verbal withdrawal request is submitted for your approval.

Withdrawal Request Approved:

Bv:

fficer in Charge

Dated Approved a/ka/ CLOSING DATE: 9/3/14

NX GEN QUESTIONS

IS THIS CASE ADJUSTED?? NO REMEDIES? NONE

BARGAINING STATUS AT CLOSURE?

ORGANIZATIONAL CAMPAIGN



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

SUBREGION 30 310 W Wisconsin Ave Ste 450W Milwaukee, WI 53203-2246 Agency Website: www.nlrb.gov Telephone: (414)297-3861 Fax: (414)297-3880

September 3, 2014

PAUL BURMEISTER, ESQ. STEVE A. MILLER, ESQ. CRAIG R. ANNUNZIATA FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP 10 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 3450 CHICAGO, IL 60606-7592 JONATHAN M LINAS, ESQ. ANDREW G. MADSEN, ESQ. JONES DAY 77 W WACKER DRIVE, 5TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60601-1692

RICHARD SAKS, ESQ. HAWKS QUINDEL, S.C. 222 E ERIE STREET SUITE 210 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-6000 DOREEN S. DAVIS, ESQ. JONES DAY 222 EAST 41ST STREET, 2ND FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017-6702

Re: Nevada Corporation d/b/a McDonalds @ 1220 N. 35th St. and McDonalds USA LLC, as a single or joint employer Case 18-CA-133382

Dear People,

This is to advise you that I have approved the withdrawal of the charge in the above matter.

Very truly yours,

MARLIN O. OSTHUS Regional Director

By: /s/ Benjamin Mandelman

BENJAMIN MANDELMAN Officer in Charge

Nevada Corporation d/b/a McDonalds @ 1220 N. 35th St. and McDonalds USA LLC, as a single or joint employer Case 18-CA-133382

cc: MILWAUKEE WORKERS
ORGANIZING COMMITTEE
1862 WEST FOND DU LAC AVENUE
MILWAUKEE, WI 53205

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
NEVADA CORPORATION D/B/A
MCDONALDS @ 1220 N. 35TH
STREET AND MCDONALDS USA
LLC, AS A SINGLE OR JOINT
EMPLOYER
5001 WEST MILL ROAD
MILWAUKEE, WI 53218

MCDONALD'S CORPORATION ONE MCDONALD'S PLAZA OAK BROOK, ONE MCDONALD'S PLAZA OAK BROOK, IL 60523-1911